# Information Technology Services Division of Technology Information and Learning Support CRICOS No.00213J

#### Introduction

 QUT Disclaimer: all thoughts are that of mine and in no way reflect the opinions of QUT or managers, etc. etc bla. bla..



#### Agenda

- QUT DNS history
- New era (.com) new problems.
- DNS/DHCP service administrators proposed response to this new era.
- CIO, IT management response to the proposal.
- Reframing the Solution to meat the business goal not the technology goal.



#### Agenda cont.

- What Solutions were considered.
- Why an appliance solution.
- Why the Infoblox DNSOne as the solution.
- What appliances don't resolve.
- Closing remarks/questions.



#### **DNS Humble Beginnings at QUT**

- DNS was deployed on proprietary hardware using open source (bind).
- CGI Web front end developed in house to manage the qut.edu.au zone only.
- DHCP deployed in a similar manner.
- Good for nearly 10 years.
- BUT,, Lots of technology to manage.



#### The Problem

- QUT's DNS world was changing
  - More zones:- qut.com, ccc.edu.au etc.
  - Classless networks:- no longer were c class boundaries sufficient
  - Many managers of the DNS service in a short timeframe (Business Continuity).
  - Exponential growth of host names to manage



#### The Problem cont.

- CGI front end to build DHCP and Bind configs were becoming a nightmare to extend given the rate of change and the resources available.
- DNS hosted on multiple OS's (linux, tru64, windows).
- Security of host platform and the DNS/DHCP service in this heterogeneous environment became unwieldy.
- Complexity of the solution was becoming difficult to manage (Web servers, Databases, DNS/DHCP service etc.).



#### Problem (cont.)

- The Nº1 issue: Management insistence on value add.
  - DNS is a utility so why so much effort.
    - Turnkey solution
  - The team responsible for maintaining DNS/DHCP and the CGI front end could not add value to the core business (teaching).
  - Activities that impacted the client experience (students) got precedence.



#### Service Owner Response

- The team responsible for DNS and DHCP initially responded by:-
  - More resources needed to be allocated to develop the GCI interface to embrace new and required functionality (non-QUT zones, classless networks etc.)
  - More resources to manage DNS/DHCP on multiple OS platforms.
  - More resources to study deployment and security issues.



#### **QUT Management Response**

- We needed to re-deploy development activities that would add value to the core business of QUT.
- This meant:-
  - No resources to develop CGI interface.
  - Diminishing resources into the future for non core business activities.



## QUT Management Response (cont.)

- Insistence on a client focus mode of operation.
- Will there be a need for additional functionality in the future?
- Delivering value add with new spending.
- Focus on People and Process not Technology (ITIL/Gartner).
  - QUT IT Management are heavily into Gartner Reports.



#### The New Order

- The question was how to address the service owners issues while addressing management strategic concerns.
- How can we add value to DNS/DHCP while:-
  - Addressing the need for feature enhancements.



#### **Developing the Solution**

- Value add includes diversion of development resources to core business activities.
- Integration with AD.
- Concentrate on the processes and not the technology:-
  - Develop better processes to manage DNS/DHCP in it's entirety (vertical services atop horizontal deployment).



#### Solution Requirements

- Decide what technology aspect of DNS/DHCP is important to your business.
  - Highly Available
  - Distributed Management
  - Service Documentation
- Outsource the technology aspects of the service.
  - Like the HA aspects.
  - Web Management Interface
  - Patch Management
- Re-align development activities to focus on business requirements.
- Develop applications using the API's to allow for value add for integration aspects (vertical integration).



#### Solutions Considered

- Why not a general purpose computing platform such as Windows DNS:-
- HA best provided in AD Integrated Zone mode of operation but would lock us into one DNS/DHCP solution.
- Management tools not web based.
- Security in terms of the host equivalency
  - Compromise of one service such as AD or the OS is a compromise of DNS/DHCP running in AD Integrated Zones.



#### Why an Appliance?

- Integrates all required services (DNS, DHCP, Time, OS etc.) into one platform.
- Simplified technology management.
- Allows the DNS/DHCP service provider focus on processes such as:-
  - Deployment
  - Change management
  - Policy development
  - Active Directory Integration
  - All the vertical aspects of the address management service.



# It's About The Horizontal Deployment Stupid

- Purchase of appliances does not dissolve responsibility for a well designed Horizontal Deployment.
  - Horizontal deployment is a critical aspect in providing a secure reliable address management services.



#### Horizontal Deployment Features

- Service Owners need to know at the minimum:-
  - Hidden Primary Master
    - the DNS master hosting the read/write zone data but host not part of ns records.
  - Stealthy Secondary
    - · Configured as secondary but not listed as an ns record.
    - Typically recursive DNS servers there fore sensitive to DNS service restarts.



## Horizontal Deployment Features Cont.

- Caching
  - · Not listed in the ns records.
  - Forward Only typically to a recursive server.
- Secondary
  - · Listed as ns records for a zone.
  - · Typically used by clients external to the organization.
  - · Returns authoritative data only



#### Why Infoblox?

- Simply it addressed many of our technology problems
  - Highly Available solution.
  - Technology Independence in the form of an appliance.
  - Security patching, Upgrades are a breeze.



#### Why Infoblox (cont.)

- · Black box slightly opaque
  - Can get the configs out in bind format.
- Easy install and deploy.
- Single source of support for the entire service DNS/DHCP Web front end:-
  - No SW vendor blaming OS and no OS vendor blaming device drivers etc.
- · Best DHCP HA we encountered
  - No 60/40 split of networks.



#### **DNS** Report

- Tool for checking horizontal deployment.
  - dnsreport.com:- Great tool for evaluating domains from delegation to deployment.



#### **Closing Remarks**

- The DNS/DHCP services have been running for almost three years with very little interruption.
- · Not without it's problems:-
  - Still have to get the horizontal deployment right.
  - No IP V6



#### Closing Remarks (cont.)

- My take is that the transition has been successful because of many things but one thing does stand out:-
  - SUPPORT
    - Nothing in technology is perfect but what makes the difference is support and when choosing an appliance, this is very important.
- Most Problem we encountered was of our own making.

